



## STUDENT PUBLICATION EVALUATION

Thesis/Dissertation Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Proponent(s)/Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**RATING SCALE: 5=Exceptional; 4=Proficient; 3=Satisfactory; 2=Needs Improvement; 1=Limited/Flawed**

\*If given a score of 1 (Limited/Flawed), please give a brief explanation.

CRITERIA	SCORE
<p><b>A. Introduction</b> Research objective / s were conceptually and operationally defined, comprehensively developed from previous researches, and are well argued.</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<p><b>B. Appropriateness of Research Methodology</b> The research design, data gathering techniques, and data analysis used were appropriate for the research problem(s).</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<p><b>C. Results and Discussion</b> Results were presented per statement of the problem, are well articulated, and were discussed with supporting review of related literature. If given a score of 1 (Limited/ Flawed), please give a brief explanation.</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<p><b>D. Clarity and Organization of Material</b> Development of ideas from introduction to discussion was clear and precise, grammatically sound, effectively transitioned per variable.</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<p><b>E. Documentation: Variety and Credibility</b> Review of related literature is up to date and is referenced according to APA standards.</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<p><b>F. Contribution to Research</b> The research is an original contribution to the body of knowledge.</p> <p>_____</p>	_____
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	_____

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATOR** (Signature over printed name)

**Date of Evaluation**

The Manuscript  
should be:

- Accepted Without Revision (Exceptional)
- Accepted with Minor Revisions (Proficient)
- Accepted with Major Revision/s (Satisfactory)
- Rejected (Limited)

28 – 30 points

22 – 27 points

16 – 21 points

15 points and below